

Site localization of painful lesions during radiofrequency ablation of pulmonary veins using PVAC® multi-electrode catheter

Avishag Laish-Farkash, MD, Ph.D, Amos Katz, MD, Chaim Yosefi, MD, Yair Elitzur, MD, Vladimir Khalameizer, MD

Cardiology Department, Electrophysiology Unit, Barzilai Medical Center, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Ashkelon, Israel



No potential conflict of interests



Background

- -Previous data have demonstrated that pain sensation is relatively common during RF ablation of pulmonary veins (PVs) with an 8-mm catheter at 48W and 51°C (1).
- -No correlation was found between pain and location of esophagus, nor with generator power setting. Pain was more common in the left PVs.
- <u>Aim:</u> To characterize the site location of pain during RF ablation of PVs using the multi-electrode Pulmonary Vein Ablation Catheter[®] (PVAC[®]; Medtronic Ablation Frontiers).



Methods

- A prospective study of 52 consecutive patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) who underwent pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) using PVAC® between July 2011 and November 2012.
- Patients were given conscious sedation using IV boluses of pethidine (12.5 mg), midazolam (1 mg), and propofol (20-40 mg bolus; 100 mg/h continuous drip), as needed.
- -Each RF energy application was delivered simultaneously through 8-10 electrodes for one minute in a temperature controlled manner, with maximum power limit of 10W, a temperature range of 45-65°C and an energy mode of 2:1 bipolar to unipolar ratio, except for areas with close proximity to esophagus, where the ratio was 4:1.
- -Site location of pain reaction was marked for each patient.
- -Association of pain and PV size was analyzed in a subgroup of 30 patients with available PV angiograms.



Results

- -A total of 52 patients (58% men, 60±10 years, 78.8% paroxysmal AF, 5.7% redo PVI) were studied.
- -47 patients (90.4%) had at least one lesion associated with pain during ablation.
- -There was no significant difference in the dosage of pain medications between patients with versus those without pain (pethidine, P=0.4; dormicum, p=0.9).
- -The distribution of pain was as follows: 91.5% LSPV, 6.4% LIPV, 6.4% RSPV, 2% RIPV.
- -Pain was found in 31% of the largest PV (95%CI 15.3-50.8 p=0.061, Kappa=0.017).



Conclusions

- -Using PVAC® for PVI caused pain sensation more commonly in LSPV.
- -There was no correlation between pain and PV size.
- Our findings are similar to PVI using an 8 mm ablation catheter, despite using a lower energy and a different pattern of energy application.
- -Thus, location of pain is probably not catheter dependent but rather a reflection of autonomic physiology.