

האיגוד הקרדיולוגי בישראל האיגוד הישראלי לכירורגית לב וחזה דאיגוד הקרדיולוגי בישראל ואיגוד הישראלי לכירורגית לב וחזה דאיגוד הקרדיולוגי בישראל ואיגוד הישראלי לכירורגית בישראל



The 60th International Conference of the Israel Heart Society in association with the Israel Society of Cardiothoracic Surgery

22-23 April 2013, ICC International Convention Center, Jerusalem

Outcome of Patients with Advanced Heart Failure who Receive Device-Based Therapy for Primary Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death

M. Suleiman, G. Amit, N. Samniah, A. Pekar, S. Ben-Zvi, R. Rosso, N. Gavrielov-Yusim, I. Marai, I. Goldenberg, M. Glikson

April 23, 2013









- Approximately 50% of deaths among patients with HF are sudden.
- The percentage of SCD decreases as NYHA class increases
- It is widely believed that patients with more advanced HF symptoms are less likely to die suddenly and therefore would not benefit from ICD implantation
- Results of landmark ICD trials were inconsistent weeney MO. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2001;24:871-88. Kjekshus J. Am J Cardiol 1990;65:42I-48I.





To examine the effect of HF functional class on the outcome of patients who receive device therapy in a real world setting.





Methods

- Israeli ICD Registry Database
- Period: Jul 2010-June 2012
- 2108 primary prevention patients
- Study end points
 - Death
 - Appropriate ICD therapies for VA
 - Readmission to hospital for HF
 - The combined endpoints of HF or death and VA or death



	NYHA o		
Variable	l+ll (n=1185, 56%)	lll+lV (n=923, 44%)	р
Age, mean \pm SD	64±12	66±11	<0.001
Female gender (%)	14	21	<0.001
Ethnicity (%)			0.87
Jews	56	44	
Arabs	56	44	
Medical History			
Old MI (%)	68	63	0.28
CABG (%)	30	34	0.002
PCI (%)	58	55	0.86
Dilated CM (%)	21	30	<0.001

National ICD Registry

Baseline Characteristics

National

	NYHA		
Variable	l+ll (n=1185, 56%)	lll+lV (n=923, 44%)	р
Atrial fibrillation, %	16	28	<0.001
Cerebrovascular			
disease	7	10	0.02
Diabetes, %	33	47	<0.001
Hypertension, %	63	65	0.20
Dyslipidemia, %	52	61	<0.001
Ch lung disease, %	8	16	<0.001
Chronic kidney disease			
(GFR<60), %	28	47	<0.001
Currently on dialysis, %	2	5	0.006
Smoker, %	33	29	0.02
0	_	40	0.004



	NYHA		
Variable	l+ll (n=1185, 56%)	lll+lV (n=923, 44%)	р
Meds on admission, %			
Beta Blockers	80	87	<0.001
ACE- inhibitors/ARB ant	75	80	0.006
Diuretics	64	90	<0.001
Oral anticoagulants	12	15	0.02
Anti-arrhythmic drugs	9	14	<0.001
Amiodaron	5	10	0.01

Baseline Characteristics

	NYHA o		
Variable	I+II III+IV (n=1185, 56%) (n=923, 44		р
Diagnostic studies			
LVEF, mean (%)	29±11	25 ± 10	<0.001
LVEF<30%	609 (52%)	680 (74%)	<0.001
QRS dur, mean (ms)	110± 26	136± 30	<0.001
QRS>120 ms	313 (27%)	572 (62%)	<0.001
Electrophysiologic study	273 (23%)	108 (12%)	<0.001





Device implanted







 1016 unselected registry patients were prospectively followed for the occurrence of clinical outcomes.





Death or HF in the General Population





Death or HF According to Device Type

ICD recipients

CRTD recipients





Death or VA in the General Population



National ICD Registry

Change in NYHA class during 1-year of follow-up in the ICD and the CRTD groups



NYHA class change

Multivariate analysis: Risk of endpoints by NYHA class ≥III vs. <III

Endpoint	Total Population		ICD Recipients		CRTD Recipients	
	HR	р	HR	Ρ	HR	р
HF or death ⁺						
NYHA class ≥III vs. <iii< td=""><td>3.21</td><td><0.001</td><td>3.28</td><td><0.001</td><td>0.97</td><td>0.92</td></iii<>	3.21	<0.001	3.28	<0.001	0.97	0.92
HF						
NYHA class ≥III vs. <iii< td=""><td>2.53</td><td>0.005</td><td>4.58</td><td><0.001</td><td>1.42</td><td>0.42</td></iii<>	2.53	0.005	4.58	<0.001	1.42	0.42
VT/VF or death						
NYHA class ≥III vs. <iii< td=""><td>0.52</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.80</td><td>0.43</td><td>0.01</td></iii<>	0.52	0.04	0.81	0.80	0.43	0.01
VT/VF						
NYHA class ≥III vs. <iii< td=""><td>0.34</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.34</td><td>0.23</td><td>0.36</td><td>0.03</td></iii<>	0.34	0.02	0.34	0.23	0.36	0.03

p-value for NYHA class by device type interaction = 0.002 for the combined endpoint of HF or Death p-value for NYHA class-by-device type interaction >0.10 for both the endpoints of VA and of VA/death National ICD Registry



Main Findings

- This the first and largest study to prospectively address the association between baseline NYHA and clinical outcomes in patients undergoing device based therapy in real world setting
- Patients with advanced HF who receive ICD for primary prevention of SCD are at increased risk of recurrent HF hospitalization.





Main Findings

- High baseline NYHA class was not associated with recurrent HF hospitalization in patients implanted with CRTD
- A higher baseline NYHA was associated with reduced VA risk regardless of device type





Clinical Implication

- Patients with less advanced HF symptoms have greater risk for VA, and are therefore more appropriate candidates for ICD therapy than those with more advanced HF symptoms
- Future clinical trials should evaluate the benefit of biventricular pacing without a defibrillator in patients with more advanced HF symptoms



האיגוד הקרדיולוגי בישראל האיגוד הישראלי לכירורגית לב וחזה דאיגוד הקרדיולוגי בישראל ואיגוד הישראלי לכירורגית לב וחזה דאיגוד הקרדיולוגי בישראל ואיגוד הישראלי לכירורגית בישראל



The 60th International Conference of the Israel Heart Society in association with the Israel Society of Cardiothoracic Surgery

22-23 April 2013, ICC International Convention Center, Jerusalem

Outcome of Patients with Advanced Heart Failure who Receive Device-Based Therapy for Primary Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death

M. Suleiman, G. Amit, N. Samniah, A. Pekar, S. Ben-Zvi, R. Rosso, N. Gavrielov-Yusim, I. Marai, I. Goldenberg, M. Glikson

April 23, 2013



