## High Prevalence of Occult Left Heart Disease in Scleroderma-Pulmonary Hypertension

Benjamin Fox<sup>1</sup>, **Avi Shimony**<sup>1</sup>, David Langleben<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Hirsch<sup>1</sup>, Lawrence Rudski<sup>1</sup>, Robert Schlesinger<sup>1</sup>, Mark Eisenberg<sup>1</sup>, Dominic Joyal<sup>1</sup>, Marie Hudson<sup>1</sup>, Kim Boutet<sup>2</sup>, Alexandrina Serban<sup>2</sup>, Ariel Masetto<sup>3</sup>, Murray Baron<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Center for Pulmonary Vascular Disease, Divisions of Cardiology, Respirology and Rheumatology, and Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, McGill University, Canada <sup>2</sup>Division of Respirology, Hopital du Sacre Coeur, University of Montreal, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Centre Hospitalier Universite de Sherbrooke, Canada

## Introduction:

The association between scleroderma (SCL) and Pulmonary Hypertension (PH) is well established. Typically, differentiation between pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) and pulmonary venous hypertension (PVH) is based on the pulmonary arterial wedge pressure (PAWP)  $\leq$ 15mmHg being the current criterion for diagnosing PAH. The 'gold-standard' measure of increased left heart pressure is the Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Pressure (LVEDP). It has been reported that in patients with PH, the PAWP and LVEDP are frequently discordant. Some patients with LV diastolic dysfunction may have normal resting LVEDP, but will show an abnormal increase in LVEDP in response to intravenous fluid loading. The proportion of scleroderma patients suspected of having PAH but in reality having occult PVH is unknown. We investigated the prevalence of discordance between PAWP and LVEDP in the SCL population, studied the frequency of occult PVH as assessed by the saline challenge, and examined the epidemiological factors and echocardiographic correlates of this phenomenon.

# Methods:

Retrospective chart review of 107 SCL: patients. All patients with suspected PH had routine right/left heart catheterization with LVEDP measurement pre/post fluid challenge. We extracted demographic, hemodynamic and echocardiographic data. Patients were classified into one of four groups – hemodynamically normal (mPAP<25mmHg), PVH (mPAP>25mmHg, PAWP>15mmHg), occult PVH (mPAP>25mmHg, PAWP<15mmHg, LVEDP>15mmHg before/after fluid challenge) and PAH (mPAP>25mmHg, PAWP<15mmHg and LVEDP<15mmHg before/after fluid challenge).

## Results:

Fifty-three of 107 patients had PH. Based on the PAWP-based definition 29/53 had PAH and 24/53 had PVH. After considering the resting and post-fluid-challenge LVEDP, 11 PAH patients were reclassified as occult PVH. The occult PVH group was hemodynamically, echocardiographically and demographically closer to the PVH group than the PAH group.

## Conclusions:

PVH had high prevalence in our SCL-PH population. Distinguishing PAH from PVH with only PAWP results in some PVH patients being misclassified as having PAH