Outcome of Patients with Advanced Heart Failure who Receive Device-Based Therapy for Primary Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death: Insights from the Israeli ICD Registry

Mahmoud Suleiman¹, Guy Amit², Nimer Samniah³, Alexander Pekar⁴, Shlomit Ben-Zvi⁶, Raphael Rosso⁵, Natalie Gavrielov-Yusim⁶, Ibrahim Marai¹, Ilan Goldenberg⁶, Michael Glikson⁷

¹Cardiology, Rambam Medical Center, Israel ²Cardiology, Soroka University Medical Center, Israel ³Cardiology, Bnai Zion Medical Center, Israel ⁴Cardiology, Rivka Ziv Hospital,, Israel ⁵Cardiology, Sourasky Tel-Aviv Medical Center, Israel ⁶IACT-Neufeld Cardiac Research Institute, Sheba, Israel ⁷Cardiology, Sheba Medical Center, Israel

Background:

It is widely believed that patients with more advanced heart failure (HF) symptoms are less likely to die from a cardiac arrhythmia and therefore would not benefit from ICD implantation. Using the Israeli ICD Registry data, we sought to examine the effect of HF functional class on the outcome of patients who receive device therapy in a real world setting.

Methods:

Between July 2010 and June 2012 a total of 2108 consecutive patients (84% male, mean age 64.9 ± 12) undergoing ICD/CRT-D implantation for primary prevention indications were prospectively enrolled in the Israeli ICD Registry. NYHA class < III was present in 1307 (62%) and class \geq III in 801 patients (38%). A subset of 1218 unselected registry patients was prospectively followed for a median period of 317 days for the occurrence of appropriate ICD therapy and hospitalization for HF.

Results:

Patients with higher NYHA were older, had more co-morbid conditions, lower LVEF, wider QRS duration and were more likely to receive CRTD therapy (all p<0.001). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that among ICD recipients the risk of appropriate device therapy for ventricular tachyarrhythmia was similar in the 2 NYHA groups (P=0.16). The risk for the development of HF events during follow-up was different between ICD and CRTD recipients. In the former group patients with NYHA≥III were more likely to develop HF events during follow-up (HR= 2.82; p<0.01), whereas among CRTD recipients the risk for the development of HF events was similar between the 2 groups (HR=1.15; P=0.74)

Conclusion:

Based on a large cohort of real-life ICD/CRTD multicenter registry, there is no evidence that severe heart failure patients are less likely to benefit from ICD therapy. Our data suggest that the association between advanced NYHA class and development of HF is attenuated among patients implanted with CRT-D devices.