Blood Transfusion for Acute Decompensated Heart Failure – Friend or Foe? - Lessons from the First Israeli Heart Failure Survey

Alexander Zhuchenko¹, Moshe Garty¹, Eytan Cohen¹, Zaza Iakobishvili², Avital Porter², Moshe Mittelman³, Alexander Battler², Solomon Behar⁴, Valentina Boyko⁴, Avraham Shotan⁵, Shmuel Gottlieb⁶, David Hasdai²

¹ Rekanati, ² Cardiology Department, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, ³ Internal A, Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, ⁴ Neufeld Cardiac Research Center, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, ⁵ Cardiology Department, Hillel Yafe Medical Center, Hadera, ⁶ Cardiology Department, Bikur Holim Hospital, Jerusalem, Israel

Background: The impact of blood transfusion (BT) among pts with acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF) remains unknown. Aim: To examine the impact of BT among ADHF pts enrolled in the First Israeli Heart Failure Survey in 2003. Methods: Propensity score analysis of ADHF pts with and without BT. Results: Of the 4102 pts, 2335 had ADHF, of whom 166(7.1%) received BT. They were older (75.6 vs 73.6, p=0.04), and more likely to be females (54.8% vs 43.9%, p=0.007), diabetic (59.0% vs 51.1%, p=0.04), and with renal dysfunction (59.0% vs 40.2%, p<0.001). BT pts were more likely to receive inotropes (16.9% vs 8.0%, p<0.001), but had similar rates of concurrent ACS (41.0% vs 39.4%, p=0.68). Nadir hemoglobin levels were <10gr% in 92.7% BT pts vs 7.9% in non-BT pts; 15 BT pts had bleeding complication, 10 major. Major predictors for BT were ACS (OR=1.85, 95% CI 1.15-2.96), inotropes (OR=2.36, 95% CI 1.2-4.6), and nadir hemoglobin (OR=0.18, 95% CI 0.14-0.22). In-hospital, 30d, and 1y unadjusted mortality rates were higher for BT pts (10.8%) vs 5.2%, p=0.002, 11.0% vs 8.5%, p=0.27, and 39.6% vs 28.5%, p=0.003, respectively). 103 matched pairs were identified with c-statistic of 0.97 and in-hospital, 30d, and 1v mortality rates tended to be lower for matched BT pts (8.7% vs 14.6%, p=0.20, 9.7% vs 18.4%, p=0.08, and 38.8% vs 42.7%, p=0.59, respectively). Conclusions: ADHF pts receiving BT had worse clinical features, and accordingly worse outcomes. However, BT per se in this setting seems to be safe and perhaps even beneficial.