## MitraClip in the Management of Heart Failure



Piotr Ponikowski, MD, PhD, FESC Medical University, Centre for Heart Disease Clinical Military Hospital Wroclaw, Poland



## Disclosure

Consultancy fees and speaker's honoraria from: ABBOTT VASCULAR

The Conundrum of Functional Mitral Regurgitation

Definition and Epidemiology *Dimension of the problem* 

Pathophysiology

Clinical Consequences *Natural history* 

Management Options How and when to intervene ? Role of MitraClip

## Functional Mitral Regurgitation - definition

DILATED CMP

Secondary MR = 'functional MR' – valve leaflets and chordae are structurally normal and MR results from geometrical distortion of the subvalvular apparatus, secondary to LV enlargement and remodelling due to idiopathic cardiomyopathy or CAD

ESC Guidelines 2012

Functional MR - ventricular disease, characterized by restricted mitral valve leaflet motion in the setting of segmental wall motion abnormalities or dilated cardiomyopathies or normal leaflet motion in the setting of annular dilatation and LV dysfunction Functional Mitral Regurgitation - epidemiology

 HF patients who underwent cardiac catheterization; N=2057; MR: mild – 39%, moderate-severe – 17%

Trichon BH et al. Am J Cardiol 2003;91:538-43

patients with incident MI; echo within 30 days; N=773; MR: mild – 38%, moderate-severe – 12%

Bursi F et al. Circulation 2005;111:295-301

HF outpatients; N=469;
MR: grade 1-2 – 51%, grade 3-4 – 45%

Bursi F et al. Eur J Heart Fail 2010;12:382-388

CRT recipients; N=794;
MR: mild-moderate – 36-73%, advanced – 17-54%

Di Biase L et al. Europace 2011;13:829-38

## The Conundrum of Functional Mitral Regurgitation

**Epidemiology** *Dimension of the problem* 

Pathophysiology

Clinical Consequences *Natural history* 

Management Options How and when to intervene ?

# Functional Mitral Regurgitation – pathophysiology



## The Conundrum of Functional Mitral Regurgitation

**Epidemiology** Dimension of the problem

Pathophysiology

Clinical Consequences *Natural history* 

Management Options How and when to intervene ?

# Functional Mitral Regurgitation – clinical consequences

FMR in ischemic & non-ischemic cardiomyopathies is associated with more severe symptoms and predicts (independently) poor outcome



Grigioni F et al. Circulation 2001;103:1759-1764

Bursi F et al. Eur J Heart Fail 2010;12:382-388

## The Conundrum of Functional Mitral Regurgitation

**Epidemiology** Dimension of the problem

Pathophysiology

Clinical Consequences *Natural history* 

Management Options How and when to intervene ?

### Primary vs functional MR: key question for the current management

Primary MR – derangement of one or more components of MV itself MR → LV volume overload → remodeling with subsequent clinical consequences "correction of primary MR in a timely fashion reverses these consequences"

Functional MR – damaged LV causes MR "primarily a ventricular problem it is less obvious that correcting the MR by itself will be curative or even beneficial"

## The Conundrum of Functional Mitral Regurgitation

Epidemiology<br/>Dimension of the<br/>comorbidities.Dathophysiology.Clinical Consequ<br/>Natural history.Matural history.Serverus<br/>Surgical treatment of LVPercentationPathophysiologyMatural history

Management Options How and when to intervene ?

### **Percutaneous Mitral Valve Repair** MitraClip<sup>®</sup> System



### **Worldwide Clinical Experience**

- Over 12,000 patients have been treated with the MitraClip Therapy worldwide.<sup>1</sup>
  - 75% are considered high risk\* for mitral valve surgery

- 67% have functional mitral regurgitation (MR)

- 96% Implant Rate
- The use of the MitraClip is supported by a rigorous clinical trial program.<sup>1</sup>
  - 50% are considered high risk\* for mitral valve surgery
  - 60% have functional MR



Data as of 30/01/2014. Source: Abbott Vascular.

Determination of high surgical risk based on: logistic EuroSCORE ≥ 20%, or STS calculated mortality ≥ 12%, or pre-specified high surgical risk co-morbidities specified in EVEREST II High Risk Study protocol.

### **Growing Number of Clinical Publications**

#### 361 total publications on MitraClip therapy (2003-2013)





ECORLEMENTS OF 1912

TOL. 18 # 20.13

#### Percutaneous Repair or Surgery for Mitral Regurgitation

APRIL 14. 2011

Ted Feidman, M.D., Elyse Foster, M.D., Donald G., Gissver, M.O., Salital Kar, M.D., Michael J. Sinaldi, M.D., Peter S. Fall, M.D., Elchard W. Smalling, M.D., Pr.D., Robert Singel, M.D., Geoffrey, A. Ross, M.D., Ein Engeron, M.D., Cotalin Loghin, M.D., Alfredo Tierroto, M.D., Ecc. R. Stopper, M.D., Tornery Fodge, M.D., George V. Lettou, M.D., Joseph M. Manuan, Ph.D., and Lauxa Mauri, M.D., for the EVERIST II Interestigators'



#### Guidelines on the management of valvular heart disease (version 2012)

The Joint Task Force on the Management of Valvular Heart Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS)



MitraClip therapy in daily clinical practice: initial results from the German transcatheter mitral valve interventions (TRAMI) registry

Year

# MitraClip as therapeutic option for MR first (and strong) evidence

EVEREST II: 279 patients with moderately severe or severe (grade 3+ or 4+) MR randomized in a 2:1 ratio to percutaneous repair or conventional surgery LVEF – 60%, functional MR – 27%

Event	12 months			Percutaneous Repair	Surgery	P Value
				no. (9	%)	
Primary efficacy	end point					
Freedom from death, from surgery for mitral-valve dysfunction, and from grade 3+ or 4+ mitral regurgitation†				100 (55)	65 (73)	0.007
Death				11 (6)	5 (6)	1.00
Surgery for mitral-valve dysfunction:				37 (20)	2 (2)	<0.001
Grade 3+ or 4+ mitral regurgitation				38 (21)	18 (20)	1.00
MR						
Functional	26/48 (54)	12/24 (50)	_	•		0.02
Degenerative	74/133 (56)	53/65 (82)				
LVEF						0.06
<60%	35/68 (51)	15/28 (54)		•		
≥60%	64/111 (58)	50/61 (82)				
			–50 Surgery b	etter 0 Percutane	eous repair better 50	

# MitraClip as therapeutic option for MR first (and strong) evidence

#### **EVEREST II: 4-year results**



#### MR Severity at Baseline and 48 Months

NYHA Functional Class at Baseline and 48 Months



Sustained clinical benefits comparable to those after surgery Improvement in MR durable through 4 years

Mauri et al., JACC (in press)

## MitraClip as therapeutic option for MR Real World Experience

ACCESS-EU: 567 pts with significant MR who underwent MitraClip therapy at 14 European sites; 69% functional MR, 85% NYHA III-IV, 53% LVEF <40% Implant rate – 99.6%; mortality: 30-day – 3.4%, 1-year – 81.8%



Maisano F et al., JACC 2013;62:1052-61

## MitraClip as therapeutic option for MR Real World Experience

**Data from Israel:** 20 pts with significant MR who underwent MitraClip therapy 90% functional/mixed MR, 90% NYHA III-IV, 68% LVEF <40% In 18 reduction of MR to  $\leq 2$ ; during follow-up 2 pts died





Severity of MR at baseline and during follow-up

Changes in NYHA class in patients with MitraClip

Koifman E et al., IMAJ 2014;16:91-95

## MitraClip as therapeutic option for functional MR – current experience

#### MitraClip<sup>®</sup> therapy in patients with end-stage systolic heart failure

Olaf Franzen<sup>1\*</sup>, Jan van der Heyden<sup>2</sup>, Stephan Baldus<sup>1</sup>, Michael Schlüter<sup>1</sup>, Wolfgang Schillinger<sup>3</sup>, Christian Butter<sup>4</sup>, Rainer Hoffmann<sup>5</sup>, Roberto Corti<sup>6</sup>, Giovanni Pedrazzini<sup>7</sup>, Martin J. Swaans<sup>2</sup>, Michael Neuss<sup>4</sup>, Volker Rudolph<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Sürder<sup>7</sup>, Jürg Grünenfelder<sup>6</sup>, Christine Eulenburg<sup>8</sup>, Hermann Reichenspurner<sup>9</sup>, Thomas Meinertz<sup>1</sup>, and Angelo Auricchio<sup>7</sup> 2011

- 50 CHF pts with severe FMR
- NYHA III-IV, EF 19%
- optimally managed (74% with ICD/CRT)

D

0.002

0.018

0.003

0.051

0.083

0.023

0.010

0.003

-1.7 + 1.4

1.3 + 2.3

6 + 9

-2+6

-1+6

-6+9

-15 + 35

-24 + 39

logistic EuroSCORE of 34%



## MitraClip as therapeutic option for functional MR – current experience



Franzen O et al., Eur J Heart Fail 2011

## MitraClip as therapeutic option for functional MR – current experience

#### MitraClip in Nonresponders to CRT: PERMIT-CARE Survey



Echocardiographic parameters



Auricchio A et al. JACC 2011:58:2183-9

# MitraClip as therapeutic option for functional MR – current experience



## MitraClip in the 2012 Heart Failure Guidelines

Page 48 of 61

ESC Guidelines

The role of isolated mitral valve surgery in patients with severe functional mitral regurgitation and severe LV systolic dysfunction who cannot be revascularized or have non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy is questionable, and in most patients conventional medical and device therapy are preferred. In selected cases, repair may be considered in order to avoid or postpone transplantation.

In patients with an indication for valve repair but judged inoperable or at unacceptably high surgical risk, <u>percutaneous</u> edge-to-edge repair may be considered in order to improve symptoms.<sup>250</sup>



## A RandomizEd Study of tHe MitrACliP DEvice in Heart Failure Patients with Clinically Significant Functional Mitral Regurgitation

### **RESHAPE-HF: objectives**

- 1. To further study the safety and effectiveness of the MitraClip System for the treatment of clinically significant functional mitral regurgitation in NYHA Functional Class III or Class IV chronic heart failure (CHF) patients.
- 2. The trial is designed to provide the evidence necessary to determine appropriate recommendations for use of the MitraClip System in the ESC Guidelines on the treatment options for CHF patients with functional mitral regurgitation.
- 3. Additionally, the trial will evaluate cost-effectiveness of be MitraClip System and gather data to support reimbursement of the device for use in CHF patients.

### **RESHAPE-HF** clinical trial



## Future of MR Management ?

	RESHAPE MitraClip vs. medical therapy	COAPT MitraClip vs. medical therapy
Patients (n)	800	420
FMR grade	≥ 3+	≥ 3+
NYHA	III, IV	II, III, IV
LVEF	≥ 15% - ≤ 40%	≥20% - ≤60%
Primary endpoint	Death or HF Rehospitalization at 1 year	HF Rehospitalization at 1 year
Primary safety endpoint		Death, stroke LVAD, cardiac transplant
Follow up	2 years	5 years

## **Future of MR Management ?**



#### Sunrise or sunset ?