Dealing with a Device Failure- Any Hope for Success?

<u>Haim, M</u>; Kuznietz, J; Perek, Y; Zabarsky, R; Strasberg, B Rabin Medical Center, Petah-Tikva, Israel

Background: In May 2009 Medtronic (MDT) issued an advisory warning of certain subsets of Pacemakers (PM) due to "separation of wires that connect the electronic circuit to other pacemaker components".

Objective: To describe the management of this advisory in a single institution.

Methods: Retrospective analysis and review of charts.

Results: Eighty six pts were identified with the affected PMs. (45 women). The indications for PM were: heart block (53), sinus node dysfunction (21), slow atrial fibrillation (7) and others in 5. Thirty seven pts died prior to the issuing of this recall.

Forty two pts were PM dependent. Of them, 19 had died before the advisory, 6 had their PM replaced before the advisor, 13 had their PM replaced in response to the advisory, 3 refused to come and have their PM checked in response to the recall and 1 was lost to follow-up. Of the 44 non dependent pts – Five were scheduled for PM replacement.

Two patients experienced adverse events. One patient with complete AV block was admitted with syncope(one week prior to a scheduled generator change- in response to the PM recall) and with an escape rhythm of 20/minute. A temporary PM was inserted and he later had his PM changed with no further complications. A second pt had her PM changed in response to the recall and was readmitted a week later with syncope and bradycardia. During a lead revision a break was found on the insulation and a new electrode was implanted in the right ventricle. Conclusions: Of the 86 pts from the relevant series- 2 pts(2.3%) were adversely affected by the recall. One with a malfunction of electrode and one with a complication of PM change. Eighteen of the 86 (21%) had (or were planned to have) their PM changed.