



THE ISRAELI WORKING GROUP ON PACING AND ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

Worldwide ICD's Registries

Jorge E. Schliamser, M.D.









- Worldwide Registries
- Performance and Outcome Data
- Adherence to Guidelines
- Comparison to National ICD Registry





The Rational Behind Registries

1. How do we practice medicine in the real world?

2. To what extent do we adhere to practice recommendations/guidelines?

3. How do we perform in comparison to others?



Leading Regions

- North America
- Europe





North America









U.S. Registry

- National Cardiovascular Data Registry (NCDR)
- Mandated by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CSM)
- Year of Initiation: 2006
- Last Year Reported: 2009
- Hospitals Reporting: 1489

Hammill SC, et al. Heart Rhythm 2010:7:1340-1345



U.S. Registry

- Cumulative Implants: 550000
- Includes 90% of ICDs in the U.S.
- ICDs Replacements/Upgrades/Failure: 30%/486000 Implants (2006-2009)

Hammill SC, et al. Heart Rhythm 2010:7:1340-1345



Table 2	National ICD	Registry	(2006	to	2009): medical history
and baseli	ne tests				

Total implants (N)	486.025
Hypertension (%)	75.3
Diabetes (%)	36.7
Chronic lung disease (%)	22.8
Ischemic heart disease (N, %)	317,168 (65.3)
Previous myocardial infarction	259,557 (53.4)
Previous coronary artery bypass grafting	170,793 (35.1)
Previous percutaneous intervention	157,706 (32.4)
Non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy (N, %)	152,672 (31.4)
History of syncope (N, %)	95,081 (19.6)
History of cardiac arrest (N, %)	55,432 (11.4)
History of congestive heart failure (N, %)	375,935 (77.4)
NYHA Class I (%)	14
NYHA Class II (%)	36
NYHA Class III (%)	46
NYHA Class IV (%)	4
QRS duration (ms)	129.3 ± 35.7
Ejection fraction (%)	28.6 ± 11.6

Hammill SC, et al. Heart Rhythm 2010:7:1340-1345



Age, mean (yrs)	68.1 ± 12.8
Male/female (%)	73.8/26.2
Race (%)	
White	82.8
Black/African American	12.1
Asian	1.0
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.4
Native Hawaiian	0.1
Other	3.4
Hispanic	4.9
Total implants (N)	486,025
ICD indication (N, %)	
Primary prevention	378,363 (77.9)
Secondary prevention	107,662 (22.2)
Primary insurance payor (%)	
Medicare/Medicaid	67.7
Other payor	32.3

Table 1 National ICD Registry (2006 to 2009): demographics

Hammill SC, et al. Heart Rhythm 2010:7:1340-1345



Table 4 National ICD Registry (2006 to 2009): physician training and implantation volume						
Training category	Physicians, N (%)	Implants performed by category, N (%)				
Total EP Fellowship + CCEP Boards EP Fellowship only	5,246 2,386 (49.6) 313 (6.5)	486,025 361,242 (77.7) 25,377 (5.5)				
Thoracic/Cardiac Surgery Residency	620 (12.9)	10,299 (2.2)				
Pediatric EP Fellowship	12 (0.2)	253 (0.1)				
HRS ICD Implantation Guidance ¹⁷	822 (17.1)	42.046 (9.0)				
None of the above Missing data*	655 (13.6) 438	25,446 (5.5) 21,362				
CCEP = Clinical Cardiac Electrophysiology; EP = Electrophysiology.						

*% calculations made excluding missing data.

Hammill SC, et al. *Heart Rhythm* 2010:7:1340-1345



Table 3 National ICD Registry (2006 to 2009): ICD type and adv	verse events				
	Year				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2006–2009
Total implants (N, for each year and cumulative for 2006–2009)	92,897	120,668	131,086	141,374	486,025
Single chamber ICD (%)	23.4	21.9	20.5	18.9	20.9
Dual chamber ICD (%)	39.1	39.1	39.8	39.3	39.3
Biventricular ICD (%)	37.4	38.9	39.6	41.7	39.6
Adverse procedure-related event (%) (for new ICD implants only)	3.77	3.34	3.01	2.87	3.22
Death in laboratory	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02
Cardiac perforation	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.08
Hematoma	1.18	0.96	0.8	0.71	0.89
Lead dislodgement	1.11	1.08	1.02	1.01	1.05
Hemo/pneumothorax	0.66	0.54	0.50	0.466	0.53
Transient ischemic attack/stroke	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.07	80.0
Other	0.61	0.58	0.50	0.53	0.57

Hammill SC, et al. Heart Rhythm 2010:7:1340-1345



Age Groups and Sex Israel vs. U.S.



ICD National Registry-Israel 2010-2011 Hammill SC, et al. *Heart Rhythm* 2010:7:1340-1345



First ICD/CRTD Implantation by Indication



 Secondary Prevention
 Primary Prevention

Hammill SC, et al. Heart Rhythm 2010:7:1340-1345



Primary vs. Secondary Prevention



p=ns

Hammill SC, et al. *Heart Rhythm* 2010:7:1340-1345 ICD National Registry-Israel 2010-2011



Distribution of Implantations by Type of Device-U.S.







Distribution of Implantations by Type of Device



U.S.

Israel



Hammill SC, et al. *Heart Rhythm* 2010:7:1340-1345 ICD National Registry-Israel 2010-2011



Medical Practice and Outcomes



Complication	EP	Non-EP cardiologist	Thoracic surgeon	Other	р
Any complication, all ICDs	3.5	4.0	5.8	4.0	<0.001
Major complication, all ICDs	1.3	1.7	2.5	1.7	<0.001
Any complication, dual- chamber ICDs	3.1	3.7	5.7	3.4	<0.001
Major complication, dual-chamber ICDs	1.3	1.7	2.7	1.4	<0.001
Any complication, CRT- D	4.8	5.4	8.9	5.8	<0.001
Major complication	1.8	2.1	3.3	2.3	0.01

Major complications include in-hospital death, cardiac arrest, cardiac perforation, cardiac valve injury, coronary venous dissection, hemothorax, pneumothorax, deep phlebitis, transient ischemic attack, stroke, MI, pericardial tamponade, and arterial-venous fistula. Any complication also includes minor complications, such as drug reactions, conduction block, lead dislodgement, and nerve injury, among others.

Curtis JP et al. JAMA 2009; 301:1661-1670.



Multivariate Analysis of Complication Risks, Use of CRT, and Physician Certification

	RR (95% CI)				
Measure	EP	Non-EP cardiologist	Thoracic surgeon	Other	
Complications	1 (reference)	1.11 (1.01–1.21)	1.44 (1.15–1.79)	1.09 (0.94–1.26)	
Use of CRT	1 (reference)	0.93 (0.91–0.95)	0.81 (0.74–0.88)	0.97 (0.94–0.99)	

Curtis JP et al. JAMA 2009; 301:1661-1670.





Ontario Registry

- Since 2006
- Outcomes: ICD Rx (e.g., shock or antitachycardia pacing), morbidity, and death.
- Primary prevention: 63.3%
- Secondary prevention: 21.6%
- Mean age: 64 yrs
- Men: 79%
- IHD: 66%
- Perioperative complications: 3.6%

Lee D, et al. *Heart Rhythm*. *Heart Rhythm* 2008:5:1250-1256 National ICD Registry



and Canadian ICD registries		
Country	United States	Ontario, Canada
Duration Mean age (SD) Male, % Primary prevention,* % Secondary prevention,* % Ischemic heart disease, % Prior MI, % LV ejection fraction, mean (SD) LVEF <30% Type of ICD	2006-present 68.3 (12.5) 74.1 79.2 20.8 66.5 55.3 27 (11) n/a	2007-present 63.8 (12.7) 79.0 72.5 27.5 65.6 59.7 30 (13) 71.8*
Single chamber, %	23.4	42.9
Biventricular, %	37.5	23.4
Adverse event rate, † % Longitudinal component planned	3.63 Voc	3.69 Xoc
Survival Study sample	res Yes Subset	Yes All

Table 3 Characteristics of ICD patients with implants in US and Canadian ICD registries

Abbreviations as in Table 1.

*Initial implants only, excludes replacements.

†Includes death, cardiac perforation, hematoma, lead dislodgement, hemothorax/pneumothorax, and transient ischemic attack/stroke.

Lee D, et al. *Heart Rhythm*. *Heart Rhythm* 2008:5:1250-1256 National ICD Registry



National ICD Registry

Early ICD Implantation Complications

- 3340 patients at 18 centers in Ontario from 2007 to 2009.
- Major complications occurred in 4.1% of de novo ICD implant procedures
- The most significant factor associated with complications is the type of ICD implanted.

Lee D, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010; 55:774-782





Lee D, et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 55:774-782 National ICD Registry



Acute ICD Lead Dislodgement

- Acute lead dislodgements and in-hospital mortality in patients enrolled in the NCDR ICD Registry
- 2628/226764 cases of ICD between 2006 and 2008
- Acute lead dislodgements occur more often in patients with more comorbidities and in patients undergoing implants by non electrophysiologytrained implanters.
- These events were strongly associated with increased odds for in-hospital death.

Cheng A, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010:56:1651-1656.



Higher ICD Volumes Linked to Better Outcomes

- The relation between hospital procedure volume and complications of cardioverter-defibrillator implantation from the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator registry.*
- The relation between patients' outcomes and the volume of cardioverter-defibrillator implantation procedures performed by physicians treating Medicare beneficiaries.**

*Freeman J et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 56:1133-1139.

**Al-Khatib SM, et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; 46:1536-1540.



Guidelines Implementation



Non–Evidence-Based ICD Implantations in the United States

- Context: Practice guidelines do not recommend use of an implantable cardioverterdefibrillator (ICD) for primary prevention in patients recovering from a myocardial infarction or coronary artery bypass graft surgery and those with severe heart failure symptoms or a recent diagnosis of heart failure.
- Objective To determine the number, characteristics, and in-hospital outcomes of patients who receive a non-evidence-based ICD and examine the distribution of these implants by site, physician specialty, and year of procedure.
- Design: Retrospective cohort study of cases submitted to the National Cardiovascular Data Registry-ICD Registry between January 1, 2006, and June 30, 2009.
- Main Outcome Measure: In-hospital outcomes.

Al Khatib S et al. JAMA 2011 5;305(1):43-9. National ICD Registry



Non–Evidence-Based ICD Implantations in the United States

- Results Of 111 707 patients, 25 145 received non– evidence-based ICD implants (22.5%). Patients who received a non–evidence-based ICD compared with those who received an evidence-based ICD had a significantly higher risk of in-hospital death (0.57% vs. 0.18% P <.001) and any post procedure complication (3.23% vs. 2.41%]; P <.001).
- Conclusion Among patients with ICD implants in this registry, 22.5% did not meet evidence-based criteria for implantation.

Al Khatib S et al. JAMA 2011 5;305(1):43-9.



Table 3. In-hospital Outcomes

	ICD Implant, No. (%) [95% CI]			P Value	
Outcome	Non-Evidence-Based (n = 25145)	Evidence-Based (n = 86 562)	l Unadjusted	Adjusted ^a	
Overall population					
Postprocedural complications (including death)	813 (3.23) [3.01-3.45]	2085 (2.41) [2.31-2.51]	<.001	<.001	
Hematoma	219 (0.87) [0.76-0.99]	615 (0.71) [0.65-0.77]	.009	.07	
Death	143 (0.57) [0.48-0.66]	153 (0.18) [0.15-0.20]	<.001	<.001	
Pneumothorax	111 (0.44) [0.36-0.52]	359 (0.41) [0.37-0.46]	.56	.64	
Cardiac tamponade ^b	14 (0.06) [0.03-0.08]	76 (0.09) [0.07-0.11]	.11	NA	
Device infection ^b	9 (0.04) [0.02-0.07]	14 (0.02) [0.01-0.03]	.06	NA	
Population excluding patients with NYHA class IV symptoms	(n = 22 123)	(n = 86 562)			
Postprocedural complications (including death)	659 (2.98) [2.75-3.20]	2085 (2.41) [2.31-2.51]	<.001	<.001	
Hematoma	188 (0.85) [0.73-0.97]	615 (0.71) [0.65-0.77]	.03	.12	
Death	93 (0.42) [0.34-0.51]	153 (0.18) [0.15-0.20]	<.001	<.001	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; NYHA, New York Heart Association; NA, data not applicable.

^a Adjusted in a logistic regression model for age, sex, atrial fibrillation or flutter, prior ventricular tachycardia, cerebrovascular disease, chronic lung disease, diabetes, end-stage renal disease, and left ventricular ejection fraction.

^bPericardial tamponade and infection were too rare to provide a valid multivariable model fit.

Al Khatib S et al. JAMA 2011 5;305(1):43-9.

Temporal Changes in Non–Evidence-Based Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillators



Al Khatib S et al. JAMA 2011 5;305(1):43-9.



Off Label Implantations-Israel







• Which countries?











Data Sources

- EHRA White Book: First publication 2008. Last 2010
- Summary of current EP status in Europe
- Countries > 50 (ESC members)
- Source of information: national societies
- Statistics: demography, economy, education, health system
- EP and device registries in some countries
 European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) White Book 2010



Determinants of Geographic Variations in ICD Implantations in ESC Member Countries

- Great differences in implanting rates among EHRA members
- The number of ICD implants is increasing in almost all countries
- There are discrepancies in the number of implanting centers/million inhabitants. Their number correlate with implantation rates
- National economic status and healthcare expenses are related to implantation rates

Lubinski A, et al, Europace 2011



Number of ICD implantations per million inhabitants in the years 2006-2008



Lubinski A, et al, Europace 2011





Patients will probably benefit from inclusion of *complications and outcomes* in the National ICD registry





"Science tells us what we can do; guidelines what we should do; and registries what we are actually doing"





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION